

axiomTM



The 30 Year Horizon

<i>Manuel Bronstein</i>	<i>William Burge</i>	<i>Timothy Daly</i>
<i>James Davenport</i>	<i>Michael Dewar</i>	<i>Martin Dunstan</i>
<i>Albrecht Fortenbacher</i>	<i>Patrizia Gianni</i>	<i>Johannes Grabmeier</i>
<i>Jocelyn Guidry</i>	<i>Richard Jenks</i>	<i>Larry Lambe</i>
<i>Michael Monagan</i>	<i>Scott Morrison</i>	<i>William Sit</i>
<i>Jonathan Steinbach</i>	<i>Robert Sutor</i>	<i>Barry Trager</i>
<i>Stephen Watt</i>	<i>Jim Wen</i>	<i>Clifton Williamson</i>

Volume 2: Axiom Users Guide

Portions Copyright (c) 2005 Timothy Daly

The Blue Bayou image Copyright (c) 2004 Jocelyn Guidry

Portions Copyright (c) 2004 Martin Dunstan

Portions Copyright (c) 2007 Alfredo Portes

Portions Copyright (c) 2007 Arthur Ralfs

Portions Copyright (c) 2005 Timothy Daly

Portions Copyright (c) 1991-2002,
The Numerical ALgorithms Group Ltd.
All rights reserved.

This book and the Axiom software is licensed as follows:

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, are permitted provided that the following conditions are met:

- Redistributions of source code must retain the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer.
- Redistributions in binary form must reproduce the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer in the documentation and/or other materials provided with the distribution.
- Neither the name of The Numerical ALgorithms Group Ltd. nor the names of its contributors may be used to endorse or promote products derived from this software without specific prior written permission.

THIS SOFTWARE IS PROVIDED BY THE COPYRIGHT HOLDERS AND CONTRIBUTORS "AS IS" AND ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE DISCLAIMED. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE COPYRIGHT OWNER OR CONTRIBUTORS BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE USE OF THIS SOFTWARE, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE.

Inclusion of names in the list of credits is based on historical information and is as accurate as possible. Inclusion of names does not in any way imply an endorsement but represents historical influence on Axiom development.

Michael Albaugh	Cyril Alberga	Roy Adler
Christian Aistleitner	Richard Anderson	George Andrews
S.J. Atkins	Henry Baker	Martin Baker
Stephen Balzac	Yurij Baransky	David R. Barton
Gerald Baumgartner	Gilbert Baumslag	Michael Becker
Nelson H. F. Beebe	Jay Belanger	David Bindel
Fred Blair	Vladimir Bondarenko	Mark Botch
Raoul Bourquin	Alexandre Bouyer	Karen Braman
Peter A. Broadbery	Martin Brock	Manuel Bronstein
Stephen Buchwald	Florian Bundschuh	Luanne Burns
William Burge	Ralph Byers	Quentin Carpent
Robert Caviness	Bruce Char	Ondrej Certik
Tzu-Yi Chen	Cheekai Chin	David V. Chudnovsky
Gregory V. Chudnovsky	Mark Clements	James Cloos
Jia Zhao Cong	Josh Cohen	Christophe Conil
Don Coppersmith	George Corliss	Robert Corless
Gary Cornell	Meino Cramer	Jeremy Du Croz
David Cyganski	Nathaniel Daly	Timothy Daly Sr.
Timothy Daly Jr.	James H. Davenport	David Day
James Demmel	Didier Deshommès	Michael Dewar
Jack Dongarra	Jean Della Dora	Gabriel Dos Reis
Claire DiCrescendo	Sam Dooley	Lionel Ducos
Iain Duff	Lee Duhem	Martin Dunstan
Brian Dupee	Dominique Duval	Robert Edwards
Heow Eide-Goodman	Lars Erickson	Richard Fateman
Bertfried Fauser	Stuart Feldman	John Fletcher
Brian Ford	Albrecht Fortenbacher	George Frances
Constantine Frangos	Timothy Freeman	Korrinn Fu
Marc Gaetano	Rudiger Gebauer	Van de Geijn
Kathy Gerber	Patricia Gianni	Samantha Goldrich
Holger Gollan	Teresa Gomez-Diaz	Laureano Gonzalez-Vega
Stephen Gortler	Johannes Grabmeier	Matt Grayson
Klaus Ebbe Grue	James Griesmer	Vladimir Grinberg
Oswald Gschnitzer	Ming Gu	Jocelyn Guidry
Gaetan Hache	Steve Hague	Satoshi Hamaguchi
Sven Hammarling	Mike Hansen	Richard Hanson
Richard Harke	Bill Hart	Vilya Harvey
Martin Hassner	Arthur S. Hathaway	Dan Hatton
Waldek Hebisch	Karl Hegbloom	Ralf Hemmecke

Henderson	Antoine Hersen	Roger House
Gernot Hueber	Pietro Iglio	Alejandro Jakubi
Richard Jenks	William Kahan	Kai Kaminski
Grant Keady	Wilfrid Kendall	Tony Kennedy
Ted Kosan	Paul Kosinski	Klaus Kusche
Bernhard Kutzler	Tim Lahey	Larry Lambe
Kaj Laurson	George L. Legendre	Franz Lehner
Frederic Lehouby	Michel Levaud	Howard Levy
Ren-Cang Li	Rudiger Loos	Michael Lucks
Richard Luczak	Camm Maguire	Francois Maltey
Alasdair McAndrew	Bob McElrath	Michael McGettrick
Edi Meier	Ian Meikle	David Mentre
Victor S. Miller	Gerard Milmeister	Mohammed Mobarak
H. Michael Moeller	Michael Monagan	Marc Moreno-Maza
Scott Morrison	Joel Moses	Mark Murray
William Naylor	Patrice Naudin	C. Andrew Neff
John Nelder	Godfrey Nolan	Arthur Norman
Jinzhong Niu	Michael O'Connor	Summat Oemrawsingh
Kostas Oikonomou	Humberto Ortiz-Zuazaga	Julian A. Padget
Bill Page	David Parnas	Susan Pelzel
Michel Petitot	Didier Pinchon	Ayal Pinkus
Frederick H. Pitts	Jose Alfredo Portes	Gregorio Quintana-Orti
Claude Quitte	Arthur C. Ralfs	Norman Ramsey
Anatoly Raportirenko	Albert D. Rich	Michael Richardson
Guilherme Reis	Huan Ren	Renaud Rioboo
Jean Rivlin	Nicolas Robidoux	Simon Robinson
Raymond Rogers	Michael Rothstein	Martin Rubey
Philip Santas	Alfred Scheerhorn	William Schelter
Gerhard Schneider	Martin Schoenert	Marshall Schor
Frithjof Schulze	Fritz Schwarz	Steven Segletes
V. Sima	Nick Simicich	William Sit
Elena Smirnova	Jonathan Steinbach	Fabio Stumbo
Christine Sundaresan	Robert Sutor	Moss E. Sweedler
Eugene Surowitz	Max Tegmark	T. Doug Telford
James Thatcher	Balbir Thomas	Mike Thomas
Dylan Thurston	Steve Toleque	Barry Trager
Themos T. Tsikas	Gregory Vanuxem	Bernhard Wall
Stephen Watt	Jaap Weel	Juergen Weiss
M. Weller	Mark Wegman	James Wen
Thorsten Werther	Michael Wester	R. Clint Whaley
John M. Wiley	Berhard Will	Clifton J. Williamson
Stephen Wilson	Shmuel Winograd	Robert Wisbauer
Sandra Wityak	Waldemar Wiwianka	Knut Wolf
Liu Xiaojun	Clifford Yapp	David Yun
Vadim Zhytnikov	Richard Zippel	Evelyn Zoernack
Bruno Zuercher	Dan Zwillinger	

Contents

1	Axiom and Category Theory	1
1.1	Covariance and Contravariance	1
1.2	Axiom Type Lattice	2
1.3	Terms to Understand	2
1.4	Category Definition	3
1.5	Monoids and Groups	4
2	Axiom Implementation Details	5
2.1	Makefile	5
3	Writing Spad Code	7
3.1	The Description: label and the)describe command	7

New Foreword

On October 1, 2001 Axiom was withdrawn from the market and ended life as a commercial product. On September 3, 2002 Axiom was released under the Modified BSD license, including this document. On August 27, 2003 Axiom was released as free and open source software available for download from the Free Software Foundation's website, Savannah.

Work on Axiom has had the generous support of the Center for Algorithms and Interactive Scientific Computation (CAISS) at City College of New York. Special thanks go to Dr. Gilbert Baumslag for his support of the long term goal.

The online version of this documentation is roughly 1000 pages. In order to make printed versions we've broken it up into three volumes. The first volume is tutorial in nature. The second volume is for programmers. The third volume is reference material. We've also added a fourth volume for developers. All of these changes represent an experiment in print-on-demand delivery of documentation. Time will tell whether the experiment succeeded.

Axiom has been in existence for over thirty years. It is estimated to contain about three hundred man-years of research and has, as of September 3, 2003, 143 people listed in the credits. All of these people have contributed directly or indirectly to making Axiom available. Axiom is being passed to the next generation. I'm looking forward to future milestones.

With that in mind I've introduced the theme of the "30 year horizon". We must invent the tools that support the Computational Mathematician working 30 years from now. How will research be done when every bit of mathematical knowledge is online and instantly available? What happens when we scale Axiom by a factor of 100, giving us 1.1 million domains? How can we integrate theory with code? How will we integrate theorems and proofs of the mathematics with space-time complexity proofs and running code? What visualization tools are needed? How do we support the conceptual structures and semantics of mathematics in effective ways? How do we support results from the sciences? How do we teach the next generation to be effective Computational Mathematicians?

The "30 year horizon" is much nearer than it appears.

Tim Daly
CAISS, City College of New York
November 10, 2003 ((iHy))

Chapter 1

Axiom and Category Theory

1.1 Covariance and Contravariance

Axiom has an order relation between types. The types can be in one of five possible relationships.

A type can be more general than another type. For example, `Integer` is more general than `PositiveInteger`.

A type can be more specific than another type. Conversely `PositiveInteger` is more specific than `Integer`.

A type can be equal to another type.

A type can be converted or coerced to another type. For example, `Fraction(Polynomial(Integer))` can be coerced to `Polynomial(Fraction(Integer))`.

A type can be unrelated to another type. `String` and `Expression` are not related.

Covariance is converting from a wider type to a narrower type. For instance, converting from `Matrix(Float)` to `Matrix(Integer)`.

Contravariance is converting from a narrower type to a wider type. For instance, converting from `Matrix(Integer)` to `Matrix(Float)`.

Invariance means that one type cannot convert to another. For instance, a `Matrix(Float)` which contains numbers which cannot be represented as `Integers` cannot be converted to a `Matrix(Integer)`.

These facts form an order relation, which by definition is reflexive, transitive and antisymmetric.

Reflexive means that `Integer = Integer`.

Transitive means that `PositiveInteger < Integer < Float` implies that `PositiveInteger < Float`.

Antisymmetric means that `PositiveInteger < Float` implies `not(Float < PositiveInteger)`.

1.2 Axiom Type Lattice

The types in Axiom form a lattice based on the order relationship. It is a lattice because Axiom supports multiple inheritance.

References of interest include:

Michael Barr and Charles Wells “Category Theory for Computing Science” 1998
www.math.mcgill.ca/triples/Barr-Wells-ctcs.pdf

Saunders Mac Lane “Categories for the Working Mathematician”
 Springer-Verlag 2010 ISBN 978-1-4419-3123-8

Steve Awodey “Category Theory”
[ftp://sumin.in.ua/Books/DVD-021/Awodey_S._Category_Theory\(en\)\(305s\).pdf](ftp://sumin.in.ua/Books/DVD-021/Awodey_S._Category_Theory(en)(305s).pdf)

“Introduction to Category Theory”
www.youtube.com/watch?v=eu0rj5C20tg

Luca Cardelli and Peter Wegner “On understanding types, data abstraction and polymorphism” Computing Surveys, Vol 17 no 4 pp471-522 Dec. 1985
lucacardelli.name/Papers/OnUnderstanding.A4.pdf

A. J. H. Simons, “Adding Axioms to Cardelli-Wegner Subtyping” 1994
staffwww.dcs.shef.ac.uk/people/A.Simons/research/reports/addaxiom.pdf

Dana Scott “Data Types as Lattices”
www.cs.ox.ac.uk/files/3287/PRG05.pdf

Roland Backhouse and Marcel Bijsterveld “Category Theory as Coherently Constructive Lattice Theory” November 1994

1.3 Terms to Understand

Suppose we wish to join Complex with Polynomial(Integer). What would elements of this combination look like?

The union of the two is a co-product of topological spaces.

The simple combination is not simply adding elements since

$$i + x^2$$

is not a valid combination.

We need the algebraic co-product, known as the tensor product. We end up with a domain of Complex(Polynomial(Integer)).

```
-> a:Complex(POLY(INT)):=%i+3*x
```

```
3x + %i
```

```
Type: Complex(Polynomial(Integer))
```

```
-> a::POLY(COMPLEX(INT))
```

```
3x + %i
```

```
Type: Polynomial(Complex(Integer))
```

1.4 Category Definition

A category has four parts. We need a set of objects, usually represented as dots. We need a set of arrows (maps, morphisms), from dot to dot. We need a way to compose arrows in an associative manner. We need an identity arrow from a dot to itself.

The set of all arrows from dot A to dot B is written as $Hom_c(A, B)$ or, sometimes $C(A, B)$. Notice that the set $C(A, B)$ is disjoint from $C(A, D)$ since each arrow has a unique domain and co-domain.

For the example of the category Set, the objects are sets and the arrows are functions between sets. For the category Ring, the objects are rings and the arrows are ring homomorphisms. Similarly for the category Group, the dots are groups and the arrows are group homomorphisms. For a fixed Ring R, the category R-Mod has dots which are left R-modules and the arrows are R-module homomorphisms. We can also look at the category Mod-R which has dots of right R-modules and arrows which are R-module homomorphisms. For the category K, if K is a field, the dots are K-vector spaces and the arrows are K-linear transformations.

In Axiom the dots are Types (such as Integer or Character) and the arrows are functions between them with signature:

```
f : Integer -> Character
```

Relations between categories is called a **functor**. A functor F takes things in category C into things in category D. We need a function on objects which maps objects of C to objects of D. We need a function on arrows which take arrows of C to arrows of D.

The categories C and D well defined structure. They have a domain and co-domain of arrows. They have identity arrows. There is a rule of composition of arrows. These form commutative diagrams.

First we have to make sure the functor F maintains the domain and co-domain structure of C. When we apply functor F to C we need to preserve all of the structure so F has to be defined on all of these properties. If we look at two dots in category C and a function f which is an arrow in C

```
      f
A ----> B
```

then the functor F has to operate on everything so we get:

```
      Ff
FA ----> FB
```

This means that if dom is the domain function in C then the functor F commutes with dom . That is, applying $F(dom(f)) = dom(F(f))$.

Next we have to make sure the functor F maintains the identity arrow of C . From the above we know that $F(\text{identity}(x)) = \text{identity}(F(x))$.

Finally we have to make sure that the rule for composition of arrows in C is preserved. So the functor F has to make sure that what composes in C also composes with the same diagram in D .

Some standard functors are the identity functor 1_c which just maps C to C . We can form a functor which forgets properties so that the category Group could map to its underlying set. We can lift a category by forgetting properties, for example, lifting the category of Abelian Group C to Group D by “forgetting” the commutative property of C . Similarly the category Ring or the category Module can be mapped to the underlying Abelian Group. There is also the Constant functor which maps all of the dots in C to a single dot in D and all of the arrows in C to the identity arrow in D .

The category CommutativeRing R can be mapped to a Group with the functor GL_n which is the group of invertible $N \times N$ matrices with entries in the CommutativeRing R .

1.5 Monoids and Groups

Given a single element set and a set of arrows from that element to itself we know from the associative property that $(fg)h = f(gh)$ and from the identity property that $ef = f = fe$.

A 1-object category is a monoid. A 1-object category where all of the arrows are invertible is a group.

If we restrict the category so there is at most one arrow between any two objects in the set then we have an ordered set.

A functor F from category C to category D consists of

- object function takes objects of C to objects of D
- arrow function takes arrows of C to arrows of D

Structurally we have 3 things to preserve.

- domains and co-domains of arrows. In order to preserve structure the functor F has to commute with the domain and co-domain functions. That is, $F(\text{dom}(f)) = \text{dom}(F(f))$ and $F(\text{co} - \text{dom}(f)) = \text{co} - \text{dom}(F(f))$.
- identity arrows. The functor F must preserve identity so $F(\text{id}(x)) = \text{id}(F(x))$.
- composition properties of arrows. The functor F must take commuting diagrams to commuting diagrams.

Chapter 2

Axiom Implementation Details

2.1 Makefile

This book is actually a literate program^[2] and can contain executable source code. In particular, the Makefile for this book is part of the source of the book and is included below. Axiom uses the “noweb” literate programming system by Norman Ramsey^[6].

Chapter 3

Writing Spad Code

3.1 The Description: label and the)describe command

The describe command will print out the comments associated with Axiom source code elements. For the category, domain, and package sections the text is taken from the Description: keyword.

This information is stored in a database and can be queried with

```
)lisp (getdatabase '|Integer| 'documentation)
```

for the Integer domain. However, this information has other uses in the system so it contains tags and control information. Most tags are removed by the describe function since the output is intended to be displayed in ASCII on the terminal.

The Description: keyword is in the comment block just after the abbreviation command. It is freeform and the paragraph will be reflowed automatically to allow for about 60 characters per line, adjusted for spaces. The Description: section should be written after the keyword in the “++” comments as in:

```
)abbrev package D03AGNT d03AgentsPackage
++ Description:
++ This package does some interesting stuff. We can write multiple
++ lines but they should all line up with the first character of
++ the Description keyword. Special \spad{terms} will be removed.
++
++ The above line will force a newline. So will ending a line with \br
++ \tab{5}This will allow primitive formatting\br
++ \tab{5}So you can align text\br
++ \tab{10}Start in column 11\tab{5}and skip 5 spaces\br
++ \tab{10}End in column 11\tab{7}and count out the needed spaces\br
++ \tab{5} note that the last line will not need the br command
```

As the comment says, the Description should all be aligned under the “D” in Description. You can indent using `\tab{n}` which will insert n spaces. You can force a newline in two ways. Either include a blank line (with the “++” comments) or use the `\br` keyword.

Due to lousy parsing algorithms for comments there are various ways this can all go wrong. There should not be any macros between the Description: section and the beginning of the definition. This is wrong. It will cause the

```
)describe package d03AgentsPackage
```

to give the wrong output because it does not find the end of the description section properly.

```
)abbrev package D03AGNT d03AgentsPackage
++ Description:
++ This description does not work
```

```
LEDF ==> List Expression DoubleFloat
```

```
d03AgentsPackage(): E == I where
```

In the Description: section the `\tab{nn}` function will be transformed into nn spaces. If you end each line with a `\br` you can control alignment.

```
++ Description:
++ This is an example of a table alignment\br
++ \tab{5}First Item\tab{5} This will line up with the following line\br
++ \tab{5}Second Item\tab{4} This will line up with the following line\br
++ \tab{5}Third Item\tab{5} This will line up with the following line
```

If the main body of the category, domain, or package begins with properties rather than functions the Description will be incorrectly recorded. This is a known bug finding the end of the Description section. For instance, this

```
++ Description:
++ The category of Lie Algebras.
++ It is used by the domains of non-commutative algebra,
++ LiePolynomial and XPBWPolynomial.

LieAlgebra(R: CommutativeRing): Category == Module(R) with
  NullSquare
  ++ \axiom{NullSquare} means that \axiom{[x,x] = 0} holds.
  JacobiIdentity
  ++ \axiom{JacobiIdentity} means that
  ++ \axiom{[x,[y,z]]+[y,[z,x]]+[z,[x,y]] = 0} holds.
  construct: ($,$) -> $
  ++ \axiom{construct(x,y)} returns the Lie bracket of \axiom{x}
  ++ and \axiom{y}.
```

will give the output

{JacobiIdentity} means that $[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0$ holds.

but reordering it to read:

```

++ Description:
++ The category of Lie Algebras.
++ It is used by the domains of non-commutative algebra,
++ LiePolynomial and XPBWPolynomial.

LieAlgebra(R: CommutativeRing): Category == Module(R) with
  construct: ($,$) -> $
  ++ \axiom{construct(x,y)} returns the Lie bracket of \axiom{x}
  ++ and \axiom{y}.
  NullSquare
  ++ \axiom{NullSquare} means that \axiom{[x,x] = 0} holds.
  JacobiIdentity
  ++ \axiom{JacobiIdentity} means that
  ++ \axiom{[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0} holds.

```

will give the output

The category of Lie Algebras. It is used by the domains of non-commutative algebra, LiePolynomial and XPBWPolynomial.

which is correct.

— * —

```

PROJECT=bookvol2
TANGLE=/usr/local/bin/NOTANGLE
WEAVE=/usr/local/bin/NOWEAVE
LATEX=/usr/bin/latex
MAKEINDEX=/usr/bin/makeindex

all:
${WEAVE} -t8 -delay ${PROJECT}.pamphlet >${PROJECT}.tex
${LATEX} ${PROJECT}.tex 2>/dev/null 1>/dev/null
${MAKEINDEX} ${PROJECT}.idx
${LATEX} ${PROJECT}.tex 2>/dev/null 1>/dev/null

```

—————

Bibliography

- [1] Jenks, R.J. and Sutor, R.S. “Axiom – The Scientific Computation System” Springer-Verlag New York (1992) ISBN 0-387-97855-0
- [2] Knuth, Donald E., “Literate Programming” Center for the Study of Language and Information ISBN 0-937073-81-4 Stanford CA (1992)
- [3] Daly, Timothy, “The Axiom Wiki Website”
<http://axiom.axiom-developer.org>
- [4] Watt, Stephen, “Aldor”,
<http://www.aldor.org>
- [5] Lamport, Leslie, “Latex – A Document Preparation System”, Addison-Wesley, New York ISBN 0-201-52983-1
- [6] Ramsey, Norman “Noweb – A Simple, Extensible Tool for Literate Programming”
<http://www.eecs.harvard.edu/~nr/noweb>
- [7] Daly, Timothy, “The Axiom Literate Documentation”
<http://axiom.axiom-developer.org/axiom-website/documentation.html>